

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,502	04/11/2001	Elena shoy	2986		
5	7590 05/21/2002				
Matthew J. Peirce, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Las Vegas, NV	Canyon Avenue 89123		OSTRUP, C	OSTRUP, CLINTON T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614	8	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	09/833,502	SHOY ET AL.			
Notice of Apardonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Clinton Ostrup	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u>·</u>			
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does		•			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejectio application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for			
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	B5).				
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
I. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a represo	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer- of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 	rence rendered on and becausens.	e the period for seeking court review			
7. 🛭 The reason(s) below:					
See Continuation Sheet	7RIN	MARY EXAMINES GROUP 16			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37 C	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTO-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: A response has not been filed and the statutory time limit for response to an Office Action ended on February 9, 2002 and the time limit for response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment had ended on May 13, 2002. Mr. Peirce told the examiner that a response had not been filed, however, he intended to file a response in the future by buying additional time. The examiner informed Mr. Peirce that an extension of time could not be extended beyond the six month Statutory time limit and told Mr. Peirce that the application was going to be abandoned. Mr. Peirce was informed that he could petition to have the application revived.